June 26, 2000

By Facsimile

Mr. B. Michael Verne
Premerger Notification Office
Room 303
Federal Trade Commission
6th Street & Pennsylvania Ave. NW
Washington, DC 20580

e: Request for Clarification of Informal Ruling In Response To My June 16 Fax

Dear Mr. Verne:

Before calling Nancy Ovuka to arrange for the filling on the closed transaction that I described in my June 16 fex, I would appreciate your clarifying whether we should file on behalf of only a single pair of acquiring and acquired persons or two of each.

My impression, which may have been naistaken, in our conversation on June 20 was that the acquiring person would be Group, Iac., after it had become a \$100 million player through acquisition of A, and the acquired person would be B. Because the FTC treats this sort of transaction as a consolidation, however, I wonder whether § 801.2(d)(2)(iii) applies. That section states:

All persons party to a transaction as a result of which all parties will lose their separate pre-acquisition identities shall be both acquiring and acquired persons.

In realty, A, B, and C did not "lose their separate pre-acquisition identifies," but they did become wholly-owned subsidiaries of Group, Inc.

It seemed appropriate to put this follow up question to you rather than to Ms. Ovuka in light of the time you have spent in answering my original inquiry. Again, thank you for your belts.

ONLY ONE FILLING (5 REQUINED.

WHEN MONE THAN THE ENTITIES ARE SINCEPOLITIES ARE SINCEPOLITIES ARE SINCEPOLITIES AS CONTROL PLANTING.

The Acquisitions may be considered to remarks of Fillies As come (5 reported.)

B. Alche Que

B. Alche Qu